

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,013	06/21/2005	Michael K. Fauble	70078-0212	8711
20915 7590 06/28/2007 MCGARRY BAIR PC 32 Market Ave. SW SUITE 500 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503			EXAMINER	
			HOOK, JAMES F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/511,013	FAUBLE, MICHAEL K.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James F. Hook	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI: 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a control of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	3 October 2004.	·				
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/aré withd		•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.	·				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•	Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/8/04.	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/511,013

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 10, and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fauble (286). The patent to Fauble discloses the recited flexible tubular article for transport of volatile hydrocarbons comprising a relatively thin inner layer of an elastomeric form of FKM fluoropolymer 14, a relatively thin intermediate layer of a thermoplastic form of THV fluoropolymer 16 where such is 500 grade which is extruded, the two layers act as a barrier layer to volatile hydrocarbons, a durable outer layer 18 bonded to the outside of the intermediate layer and coextensive therewith, the thicknesses of the layers overlap the ranges set forth in claims 2-4, the elastomeric polymer making up the durable outer layer is selected from the same list as claim 5, a first tie layer 20 can be provided to between the intermediate layer and the elastomeric polymer layer, the first tie layer can be the same as that set forth in claim 7, the inner layer can be made conductive such as by adding carbon black thereto, and an added reinforcing layer can be provided. The patent to Fauble discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of using an 800 grade THV instead of a 500 grade THV, however such is considered merely a choice of mechanical expedients where it would

Art Unit: 3754

have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use routine experimentation to arrive at an optimum material that would provide the best barrier properties as such would only require routine skill in the art to change the grade of THV used, where such would provide better barrier properties and would protect the environment from volatile gases that may permeate the FKM layer.

Claims 8-11, and 15-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fauble (286) in view of Jing (393). The patent to Fauble discloses all of the recited structure and method with the exception of providing a plurality of THV layers with varying amounts of fluorine content or grade. The patent to Jing discloses that it is old and well known in the art to form multilayer compositions of fluoropolymers such as THV in fuel hoses, and to provide them with varying grades and amounts of . fluorine which can include grades 200, 500, 740, and 2178 are used, where Fauble teaches the use of 500 grade as well, where it is taught one or more fluoropolymer layers can be utilized in the hose structure, and that any of the fluoropolymers can be used for the different layers, thereby teaching the use of various types of THV, such as using a layer of THV 200 and an adjacent layer can be THV 500 for providing more barrier properties, where the layers are coextruded, thereby teaching the method of providing additional THV layers for bonding purposes as well as additional barrier properties and where such can be provide in increasing amounts of fluorine content. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the hose of Fauble by providing additional layers of THV of differing grades and amounts of fluorine content as Art Unit: 3754

suggested by Jing to provide more barrier properties and connection layers to adhere layers together to better protect against permeation and failure of the hose.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references to Niki (641 and 374), Wiggins, Kitami, Igarashi, Kodama, Ishida, Bertero, and Shifman disclosing state of the art multilayer tubes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (571) 272-4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday, work at home Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,013

Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JFH